**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**NOV 20 2007** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

JOSE ANTONIO LUGO MENDOZA.

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-30198

D.C. No. CR-05-00138-4-SEH

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Sam E. Haddon, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 22, 2007\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, WARDLAW and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Jose Antonio Lugo Mendoza appeals from the 120-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Mendoza's counsel has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided the appellant the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.